UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,302	09/11/2003	Robert W. Nelson	NELSR-P01	7815
23653 FRANK G MO	7590 01/29/200 RKUNAS	EXAMINER		
7750 DAGGET	ST	LASTRA, DANIEL		
SUITE 203 SAN DIEGO, O	CA 92111	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3688	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/661,302	NELSON, ROBERT W.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	DANIEL LASTRA	3000	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOI	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reju	scied ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non Co	mpliant Amondment (	DTOL 324)
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		mpilant Amendment (i	1 1 OL-324).
<ul><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li></ul>		timely filed amendmen	at canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subiliilled iii a separate,	uniery nied amendmer	it cancelling the
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-12 and 14-19</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-12 and 14-19</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		1111 6 11	
<ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12. Note the extraphed Information Displaceurs Statement(s).</li> </ul>		n condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	F 10/30/00/ Paper NO(S).		
	/Raquel Alvarez/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 3688	
	<del>-</del>		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach Applicant's claimed invention. The Examiner answers that While the cited reference talks about pricing advertisements by physical size, e.g. whole-page, half-page, quarter-page, etc., it does not explicitly disclose that the prices for the larger advertisements are less than the prices for the smaller advertisement. However, since setting up the advertising rates is a business decision by the publisher, it would have been obvious that the publisher could decide to charge less for a large advertisement and more for a small advertisement. Since there are only three ways of determining the rates charged for two different sizes of advertisements, (1) higher for larger, (2) lower for larger, or (3) same for all sizes, and no unexpected results are obtained in choosing one rate plan over the other, it would have been obvious for Magazine Advertising Guide to choose any one of the three possible plans including charging a lower rate for larger advertisements..